

thought the people give an annual review. This is for the citizens to give their review. He stated he does not want to waste time and money with Mr. Gaylord talking about productivity and the best use of time and resources. Mr. Stagner stated he feels it would be good to have a public hearing and let the people speak with Mr. Weeks pointing out he feels the Council is presently getting daily reviews. The motion was put to a vote which resulted as follows: Ayes – 5 (Baldwin, Gaylord, Odom, Stagner, Weeks); Noes – 3 (McFarlane, Stephenson, Crowder). The Mayor ruled the motion adopted on a 5-3 vote.

Brief discussion took place with the City Attorney pointing out he would draft a resolution of intent for consideration at the July 17 Council meeting. The hearing could not be held until after the Council passes a resolution of intent but would need to be held within 45 days of passage. He stated as he understands the Council wants the resolution of intent to consider changing terms to four year terms with everyone being elected at the same time.

#### **CITIZENS UNITED V FEC – RESOLUTION ADOPTED**

During the June 18 Budget Work Session, Mr. Crowder presented a resolution to support an amendment to the United States Constitution directed at regulating certain political speech by corporations and labor unions. It was directed that the item be placed on this agenda for consideration of adoption. A copy of the proposed resolution was included in Council Members' agenda packets. Mr. Crowder moved adoption. His motion was seconded by Mr. Stephenson.

Mr. Gaylord pointed out there are some valid points but he does not feel what is being discussed has a direct correlation with the mission of the City Council. He stated he is not for or against the proposal he just does not feel it is in the City Council's purview to act on resolutions such as this. Mr. Stagner agreed pointing out he doesn't feel we need to do a resolution every time something like this pops up. Mr. Stephenson talked about the importance of every one speaking out on this issue. Mr. Odom stated as he understands this is a resolution to amend the constitution of the United States and he could not vote for that. The motion as stated was put to a vote which resulted in all members voting in the affirmative except Mr. Odom and Mr. Gaylord. The Mayor ruled the motion adopted on a 6-2 vote. See Resolution 635.

#### **FRACKING PROHIBITION – ORDINANCE ADOPTED**

During the June 19, 2012, Council meeting at the request of Mr. Odom, the City Attorney was asked to draft a resolution similar to the one passed by the Town of Creedmoor relative to prohibiting fracking within the City of Raleigh. Mr. Odom moved approval. His motion was seconded by Ms. Baldwin and a roll call vote resulted in all members voting in the affirmative. The Mayor ruled the motion adopted on an 8-0 vote. See Ordinance 86.

#### **CONTRACTS/CHANGE ORDER/AMENDMENTS – INFORMATION RECEIVED**

During the June 19, 2012, Council meeting, Mr. Gaylord asked that Council be provided information on the city's policies relative to contracts as it relates to when a project should go out to bid as opposed to being handled as a change order, contract amendment, etc. He asked